

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,601	04/12/2006	Michael Breuer	HM-713PCT	1627	
40570 FRIEDRICH F	7590 08/21/2009 LKHEFFNER		EXAMINER		
317 MADISOI	N AVENUE, SUITE 91	0	BONK, TERESA		
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/575,601	BREUER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Teresa M. Bonk	3725		

	Teresa M. Bonk	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [be entered and an ex	planation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Note: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
10. M Outer, Gee Continuation Street.			
/Dana Poss/			

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments filed August 7, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicants arguments, it is noted that the primary reference discloses a tandem operation while Konose is relied upon to teach the use of reversible 2-high roughing mills in a hot mill operation for the purpose of improving temperature profile, that is "to reduce bend of material" (Konose Abstract), of the metal material. It is also noted that with regards to the "simultaneous" operation Kramer discloses such capability in Paragraph 0008. The Examiner maintains the rejection set forth in the Office Action mailed on March 4, 2009.